

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,656	02/03/2000	Yoshimasa Saitoh	P99.2475	6539
26263	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			HON, SOW FUN	
P.O. BOX 061	1080			
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO II 60606-1080			1772	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/496,656	SAITOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Gee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the definied copies no					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	$/\!\!\mid$			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	``''	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	\	J			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Rejections Withdrawn

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection dated 10/05/04 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment dated 02/14/05.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbons et al. (US 6,307,609) in view of Yamahara et al. (US 5,579,140).

Gibbons teaches a liquid crystal display (column 1, lines 35-40) comprising a pair of substrates being aligned via a predetermined distance therebetween (assembled with orthogonal orientation of the optically generated alignment direction, forming a cell with a cell gap or thickness) (column 12, lines 30-35), with at least one of them having thereon a film for liquid crystal orientation (alignment layer) (column 12, lines 28-31), and a liquid crystal layer put in the distance between the substrates (column 12, lines 30-40), wherein the film is a UV-reactive film (photosensitive alignment layer) (column 11, lines 60-65) and is exposed to first polarized UV rays (ultraviolet light) (column 10, lines 25-35), while the film is on the substrate aligned parallel to a reference plane, and next to second polarized UV rays after the substrate is rotated on the reference plane

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656

Art Unit: 1772

(The substrates are then rotated 90 degrees about the normal to the place of the substrates and then exposed a second time by the optical exposure system) (column 11, lines 60-70).

Gibbons fails to teach that the substrates of the liquid crystal display are transparent.

Yamahara has a liquid crystal display device 1, comprising a pair of transparent (glass) substrates 6, 7 being aligned via a predetermined distance there between with at least one of them having thereon a film for liquid crystal orientation (alignment films 9, 11), and a liquid crystal layer 5 put in the distance (sandwiched) between the substrates (column 10, lines 20-35). Transparent substrates provide minimum interference to light rays, allowing maximum transmittance.

Therefore it would have been have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used a pair of transparent substrates as the substrates of Gibbons, as taught by Yamahara, in order to provide maximum transmittance of light rays.

Gibbons also teaches that the exposure process allows control of the degree of polarization and collimation, leading to improved performance and new exposure geometries (column 2, lines 15-20). Gibbons fails to teach that the liquid crystal display has a contrast ratio greater than or equal to 138.

Yamahara teaches a liquid crystal display device 1, comprising a pair of transparent (glass) substrates 6, 7 being aligned via a predetermined distance there between with at least one of them having thereon a film for liquid crystal orientation

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656

Art Unit: 1772

(alignment films 9, 11), and a liquid crystal layer 5 put in the distance (sandwiched)

between the substrates (column 10, lines 20-35).

Yamahara teaches that a high contrast ratio is desired (column 16, lines 15-20), with one example having a contrast ratio of 137 (column 15, lines 10-15). Yamahara teaches that the high contrast ratio (improving contrast) is effected by the amount of UV rays (column 53, lines 40-45) and geometry (pattern) of the irradiated region (column 53, lines 45-55).

Therefore, although Gibbons fails to teach that the liquid crystal display has a contrast ratio greater than or equal to 138, because Yamahara teaches that a contrast ratio of 137 is possible, effected by the amount of UV rays and geometry of the irradiated region, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have varied the amount of UV ray exposure and exposure geometries in the UV light exposure process of Gibbons, in order to provide a liquid crystal display with the desired contrast ratio of greater than or equal to 138, as effected by the exposure to the first polarized UV rays and the second polarized UV rays in the exposure process of Gibbons.

Regarding claim 9, Gibbons teaches that the substrates are rotated 90 degrees about the normal to the plane of reference (of the substrates), and the films on the substrates are exposed to a second polarized light (column 11, lines 60-70).

Regarding claims 10-11, Gibbons teaches that the angle of the exposure can be adjusted from about 0 to about 89 degrees (column 8, lines 35-45), which encompasses the claimed range of between 50 and 90 degrees relative to the reference plane, for the

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656

Art Unit: 1772

first exposure (claim 10), and of between 50 and 80 degrees relative to the reference plane, for the second exposure (claim 11).

Regarding claim 12, Gibbons teaches that the relative ratio of exposing energies is 4/1 between the first and second exposures (column 12, lines 20-30), within the claimed range of 100/1 and 1/1.

Regarding claim 13, Gibbons teaches that the light source is a UV lamp, which is a non-electrode discharge-type since it is gas excited by a microwave source (column 10, lines 25-35).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sow-Fun Hon

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE